



State of Ohio  
**Public Works Commission**  
*Application for Financial Assistance*

**IMPORTANT:** Please consult "Instructions for Financial Assistance for Capital Infrastructure Projects" for guidance in completion of this form.

<b>Applicant</b>	Applicant: _____	Subdivision Code: _____
	District Number: _____ County: _____	Date: _____
	Contact: _____ <small>(The individual who will be available during business hours and who can best answer or coordinate the response to questions)</small>	Phone: _____
	Email: _____	FAX: _____

<b>Project</b>	Project Name: _____		Zip Code: _____
	Subdivision Type	Project Type	Funding Request Summary
	<small>(Select one)</small>	<small>(Select single largest component by \$)</small>	<small>(Automatically populates from page 2)</small>
	1. County	1. Road	Total Project Cost: _____ .00
	2. City	2. Bridge/Culvert	1. Grant: _____ .00
	3. Township	3. Water Supply	2. Loan: _____ .00
4. Village	4. Wastewater	3. Loan Assistance/ Credit Enhancement: _____ .00	
5. Water (6119 Water District)	5. Solid Waste		
	6. Stormwater	Funding Requested: _____ .00	

**District Recommendation** (To be completed by the District Committee)

<u>Funding Type Requested</u> <small>(Select one)</small>	SCIP Loan - Rate: _____ % Term: _____ Yrs	Amount: _____ .00
State Capital Improvement Program	RLP Loan - Rate: _____ % Term: _____ Yrs	Amount: _____ .00
Local Transportation Improvement Program	Grant:	Amount: _____ .00
Revolving Loan Program	LTIP:	Amount: _____ .00
Small Government Program	Loan Assistance / Credit Enhancement:	Amount: _____ .00
District SG Priority: _____		

**For OPWC Use Only**

<u>STATUS</u>	Grant Amount: _____ .00	Loan Type: <input type="checkbox"/> SCIP <input type="checkbox"/> RLP
Project Number: _____	Loan Amount: _____ .00	Date Construction End: _____
	Total Funding: _____ .00	Date Maturity: _____
Release Date: _____	Local Participation: _____ %	Rate: _____ %
OPWC Approval: _____	OPWC Participation: _____ %	Term: _____ Yrs

# 1.0 Project Financial Information (All Costs Rounded to Nearest Dollar)

## 1.1 Project Estimated Costs

### Engineering Services

Preliminary Design:	_____	.00	
Final Design:	_____	.00	
Construction Administration:	_____	.00	
Total Engineering Services:		a.) _____	.00 _____ %
Right of Way:		b.) _____	.00
Construction:		c.) _____	.00
Materials Purchased Directly:		d.) _____	.00
Permits, Advertising, Legal:		e.) _____	.00
Construction Contingencies:		f.) _____	.00 _____ %
Total Estimated Costs:		g.) _____	.00

## 1.2 Project Financial Resources

### Local Resources

Local In-Kind or Force Account:		a.) _____	.00
Local Revenues:		b.) _____	.00
Other Public Revenues:		c.) _____	.00
ODOT / FHWA PID: _____		d.) _____	.00
USDA Rural Development:		e.) _____	.00
OEPA / OWDA:		f.) _____	.00
CDBG:		g.) _____	.00
County Entitlement or Community Dev. "Formula" Department of Development			
Other: _____		h.) _____	.00
Subtotal Local Resources:		i.) _____	.00 _____ %

### OPWC Funds (Check all requested and enter Amount)

Grant: _____ % of OPWC Funds		j.) _____	.00
Loan: _____ % of OPWC Funds		k.) _____	.00
Loan Assistance / Credit Enhancement:		l.) _____	.00
Subtotal OPWC Funds:		m.) _____	.00 _____ %
Total Financial Resources:		n.) _____	.00 _____ %

### 1.3 Availability of Local Funds

Attach a statement signed by the Chief Financial Officer listed in section 5.2 certifying all local resources required for the project will be available on or before the earliest date listed in the Project Schedule section. The OPWC Agreement will not be released until the local resources are certified. Failure to meet local share may result in termination of the project. Applicant needs to provide written confirmation for funds coming from other funding sources.

### 2.0 Repair / Replacement or New / Expansion

2.1 Total Portion of Project Repair / Replacement: \_\_\_\_\_ .00 \_\_\_\_\_ %

2.2 Total Portion of Project New / Expansion: \_\_\_\_\_ .00 \_\_\_\_\_ %

2.3 Total Project: \_\_\_\_\_ .00 \_\_\_\_\_ %

### 3.0 Project Schedule

3.1 Engineering / Design / Right of Way      Begin Date: \_\_\_\_\_      End Date: \_\_\_\_\_

3.2 Bid Advertisement and Award              Begin Date: \_\_\_\_\_      End Date: \_\_\_\_\_

3.3 Construction                                      Begin Date: \_\_\_\_\_      End Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Construction cannot begin prior to release of executed Project Agreement and issuance of Notice to Proceed.

Failure to meet project schedule may result in termination of agreement for approved projects. Modification of dates must be requested in writing by project official of record and approved by the Commission once the Project Agreement has been executed.

### 4.0 Project Information

If the project is multi-jurisdictional, information must be consolidated in this section.

#### 4.1 Useful Life / Cost Estimate / Age of Infrastructure

Project Useful Life: \_\_\_\_\_ Years      Age: \_\_\_\_\_ (Year built or year of last major improvement)

*Attach Registered Professional Engineer's statement, with seal or stamp and signature confirming the project's useful life indicated above and detailed cost estimate.*

#### 4.2 User Information

Road or Bridge:      Current ADT \_\_\_\_\_      Year \_\_\_\_\_      Projected ADT \_\_\_\_\_      Year \_\_\_\_\_

Water / Wastewater: Based on monthly usage of 4,500 gallons per household; attach current ordinances.

Residential Water Rate                              Current \$ \_\_\_\_\_      Proposed \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Number of households served: \_\_\_\_\_

Residential Wastewater Rate                      Current \$ \_\_\_\_\_      Proposed \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Number of households served: \_\_\_\_\_

Stormwater: Number of households served: \_\_\_\_\_

### 4.3 Project Description

A: SPECIFIC LOCATION (Supply a written location description that includes the project termini; a map does not replace this requirement.) 500 character limit.

B: PROJECT COMPONENTS (Describe the specific work to be completed; the engineer's estimate does not replace this requirement) 1,000 character limit.

C: PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS (Describe the physical dimensions of the existing facility and the proposed facility. Include length, width, quantity and sizes, mgd capacity, etc in detail.) 500 character limit.

## 5.0 Project Officials

Changes in Project Officials must be submitted in writing from an officer of record.

### 5.1 Chief Executive Officer (Person authorized in legislation to sign project agreements)

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

City: \_\_\_\_\_ State: \_\_\_\_\_ Zip: \_\_\_\_\_

Phone: \_\_\_\_\_

FAX: \_\_\_\_\_

E-Mail: \_\_\_\_\_

### 5.2 Chief Financial Officer (Can not also serve as CEO)

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

City: \_\_\_\_\_ State: \_\_\_\_\_ Zip: \_\_\_\_\_

Phone: \_\_\_\_\_

FAX: \_\_\_\_\_

E-Mail: \_\_\_\_\_

### 5.3 Project Manager

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

City: \_\_\_\_\_ State: \_\_\_\_\_ Zip: \_\_\_\_\_

Phone: \_\_\_\_\_

FAX: \_\_\_\_\_

E-Mail: \_\_\_\_\_

## 6.0 Attachments / Completeness review

Confirm in the boxes below that each item listed is attached (Check each box)

- A certified copy of the legislation by the governing body of the applicant authorizing a designated official to sign and submit this application and execute contracts. This individual should sign under 7.0, Applicant Certification, below.
- A certification signed by the applicant's chief financial officer stating the amount of all local share funds required for the project will be available on or before the dates listed in the Project Schedule section. If the application involves a request for loan (RLP or SCIP), a certification signed by the CFO which identifies a specific revenue source for repaying the loan also must be attached. Both certifications can be accomplished in the same letter.
- A registered professional engineer's detailed cost estimate and useful life statement, as required in 164-1-13, 164-1-14, and 164-1-16 of the Ohio Administrative Code. Estimates shall contain an engineer's seal or stamp and signature.
- A cooperative agreement (if the project involves more than one subdivision or district) which identifies the fiscal and administrative responsibilities of each participant.
- Farmland Preservation Review - The Governor's Executive Order 98-IV, "Ohio Farmland Protection Policy" requires the Commission to establish guidelines on how it will take protection of productive agricultural and grazing land into account in its funding decision making process. Please include a Farm Land Preservation statement for projects that have an impact on farmland.
- Capital Improvements Report. CIR Required by O.R.C. Chapter 164.06 on standard form.
- Supporting Documentation: Materials such as additional project description, photographs, economic impact (temporary and/or full time jobs likely to be created as a result of the project), accident reports, impact on school zones, and other information to assist your district committee in ranking your project. Be sure to include supplements which may be required by your local District Public Works Integrating Committee.

## 7.0 Applicant Certification

The undersigned certifies: (1) he/she is legally authorized to request and accept financial assistance from the Ohio Public Works Commission as identified in the attached legislation; (2) to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all representations that are part of this application are true and correct; (3) all official documents and commitments of the applicant that are part of this application have been duly authorized by the governing body of the applicant; and, (4) should the requested financial assistance be provided, that in the execution of this project, the applicant will comply with all assurances required by Ohio Law, including those involving Buy Ohio and prevailing wages.

**Applicant certifies that physical construction on the project as defined in the application has NOT begun, and will not begin until a Project Agreement for this project has been executed with the Ohio Public Works Commission. Action to the contrary will result in termination of the agreement and withdrawal of Ohio Public Works Commission funding from the project.**

Adam Ziegler, Trustee

\_\_\_\_\_  
Certifying Representative (Printed form, Type or Print Name and Title)

 9-10-24  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Original Signature / Date Signed

## AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING **JACKSON TOWNSHIP** TO PREPARE AND SUBMIT AN APPLICATION TO PARTICIPATE IN THE OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION STATE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT AND / OR LOCAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM(S) AND TO EXECUTE CONTRACTS AS REQUIRED

WHEREAS, the State Capital Improvement Program and the Local Transportation Improvement Program both provide financial assistance to political subdivisions for capital improvements to public infrastructure, and

WHEREAS, **Jackson Township** is planning to make capital improvements to Hoytville Road, and


WHEREAS, the infrastructure improvement herein above described is considered to be a priority need for the community and is a qualified project under the OPWC programs,

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by **Jackson Township**:

Section 1: **Trustee/Chairman Adam Ziegler** is hereby authorized to apply to the OPWC for funds as described above.

Section 2: **Trustee/Chairman Adam Ziegler** is authorized to enter into any agreements as may be necessary and appropriate for obtaining this financial assistance.

Passed: September 3, 2024  
Resolution: 2024-12



Adam Ziegler, Chairman/Trustee



Matt Sheeks, Vice Chairman/Trustee




Greg Panning, Trustee

**CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER'S CERTIFICATION OF  
LOCAL FUNDS / LOAN REPAYMENT LETTER**

September 9, 2024

I, Jane Phillips, Fiscal Officer of Jackson Township, hereby certify that Jackson Township has the amount of \$155,000.00 in the Road and Bridge Fund and that this amount will be used to pay the local share for the Hoytville Road Improvements when it is required.

  
*Jane Phillips, Fiscal Officer*  
*Jackson Township - Wood County*

# Estimate of Construction Costs

## Hoytville Road Widening, Jackson Township

JACKSON TOWNSHIP TRUSTEES


PAGE 1 OF 1

The undersigned, having full knowledge of the site, plans and specifications for the following improvements, and the conditions of this proposal, hereby agrees to furnish all services, labor, material and equipment necessary to complete the Hoytville Road Widening according to the plans, specifications and completion dates and to accept the unit price specified below for each item as full compensation for the work in this proposal.

### UNIT PRICE CONTRACT

Spec Item No.	Approx. Qty.	Unit	ITEM	Unit Price	Total Bid
<b>ROADWAY</b>					
201	1	Lump	Clearing and Grubbing	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 10,000.00
203	342	Cu.Yd.	Excavation	\$ 20.00	\$ 6,840.00
203	362	Cu.Yd.	Embankment Construction	\$ 40.00	\$ 14,480.00
204	2,053	Sq.Yd.	Subgrade Compaction	\$ 3.00	\$ 6,159.00
411	196	Cu.Yd.	Stabilized Crushed Aggregate	\$ 65.00	\$ 12,740.00
659	1600	Sq.Yd.	Seeding & Mulching and Commercial Fertilizer	\$ 1.50	\$ 2,400.00
<b>PAVEMENT</b>					
254	200	Sq.Yd.	Pavement Planing, Asphalt Concrete	\$ 10.00	\$ 2,000.00
301	342	Cu.Yd.	Asphalt Concrete Base (PG64-22)	\$ 165.00	\$ 56,430.00
407	1,596	Gal.	Non Tracking Tack Coat	\$ 2.00	\$ 3,192.00
441	416	Cu.Yd.	Asphalt Concrete Intermediate Course, Type 2	\$ 200.00	\$ 83,200.00
441	277	Cu.Yd.	Asphalt Concrete Surface Course, Type 1, PG64-22	\$ 200.00	\$ 55,400.00
<b>PAVEMENT MARKINGS</b>					
642	1	Mile	Center Line, Type 1	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 1,000.00
642	2	Mile	Edge Line, 4 inch, Type 1	\$ 750.00	\$ 1,500.00
<b>GENERAL</b>					
614	1	LUMP	Maintaining Traffic	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 10,000.00
623	1	LUMP	Construction Layout Stakes	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 1,500.00
624	1	LUMP	Mobilization	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 15,000.00
<b>SUB TOTAL</b>					<b>\$ 281,800.00</b>
CONTINGENCY (10%)					\$ 28,200.00
<b>TOTAL AMOUNT</b>					<b>\$ 310,000.00</b>

The design useful life of this project is 20 years



John M. Musteric  
125 EP 2024

John M. Musteric, P.E., P.S.  
Wood County Engineer

Volume Grand Totals

**Average Hourly Volumes**

	Westbound	Eastbound	Combined
12:00 AM	0.0	0.0	0.0
1:00 AM	0.0	0.0	0.0
2:00 AM	0.0	0.0	0.0
3:00 AM	0.5	0.5	1.0
4:00 AM	0.5	0.0	0.5
5:00 AM	1.5	1.5	3.0
6:00 AM	2.5	4.5	7.0
7:00 AM	4.0	2.0	6.0
8:00 AM	4.0	4.0	8.0
9:00 AM	2.5	1.0	3.5
10:00 AM	1.0	2.0	3.0
11:00 AM	1.0	1.0	2.0
12:00 PM	1.5	2.0	3.5
1:00 PM	3.0	0.0	3.0
2:00 PM	3.5	2.0	5.5
3:00 PM	4.5	1.0	5.5
4:00 PM	3.5	3.0	6.5
5:00 PM	3.5	3.0	6.5
6:00 PM	5.0	2.0	7.0
7:00 PM	2.0	3.0	5.0
8:00 PM	1.0	1.0	2.0
9:00 PM	1.0	1.0	2.0
10:00 PM	2.0	1.5	3.5
11:00 PM	0.0	0.0	0.0

Average Daily Traffic (ADT)                      48.0                      36.0                      84.0

**Volume Totals**

	Westbound	Eastbound	Combined
	92	71	163
	56.4 %	43.6 %	

## FARMLAND PRESERVATION REVIEW LETTER

### FARMLAND PRESERVATION REVIEW FOR THE OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION

Hoytville Road Widening, Jackson Township, Wood County, Ohio  
September 12, 2024

This review is to comply with Farmland Preservation Review Advisory of the Ohio Public Works Commission and the Governor's Executive Order 98-IIV. This review was accomplished by the Wood County Engineer's Office.

1. The immediate impact the project will have on productive agricultural and grazing land related to land acquisition.


None known

2. Indirect impact that will result in the loss of productive agricultural and grazing land from development related to the project.

None known

3. Mitigation measures that could be implemented when alternative sites or locations are not feasible.

None Known

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "John M. Musteric" with a large flourish at the end. Below the signature, the date "12 SEP 2024" is written in blue ink.

John M. Musteric, P.E., P.S.  
Wood County Engineer

# Jackson Township

## Hoytville Road



# Jackson Township

## Hoytville Road



# Jackson Township

## Hoytville Road





			demand exceeds capacity or where a documented environmental hazard is present.
8	Poor	The condition is substandard and requires repair or restoration in order to return to the intended level of service and comply with current design standards. Infrastructure contains deficiency and is functioning at a diminished capacity.	<b>Road</b> -Multiple course of paving- Single course of paving with 25% base repair-Widening graded shoulder width to less than ODOT L&D Standard -Structural Culvert Lining -Bridge Deck Replacement <b>Water, San. Sewer/Storm</b> - Replacement of a component such as a control mechanism, pumps, hydrants, valves, filters, etc of a water or sewer plant – Project repairs a facility component ordered by a regulatory agency.
6	Fading	The condition requires reconditioning to continue to function as originally intended.	<b>Road/Bridge</b> - Single course of paving- Widening aggregate berm on existing graded shoulder width <b>Water, San. Sewer/Storm</b> - Sewer Lining Projects -Water tower painting -Repair of a tank to maintain structural integrity in existing water and sewer systems- Project repairs a facility component considered to be maintenance in nature.
4	Fair	The condition is average, not good or poor. The infrastructure is still functioning as originally intended. Minor deficiencies exist requiring repair to continue to function as originally intended and/or to meet current design standards	
2	Good	The condition is safe and suitable to purpose. Infrastructure is functioning as originally intended, but requires minor repairs and/or upgrades to meet current design standards	
0	Excellent	The condition is new or requires no repair. Or, no supporting documentation has been submitted	

2b. Age of Infrastructure ORC Reference(s):164.06(B)(2)

Life	20	30	50
Project Type	Road	Wastewater and Water Treatment	Bridge/Culvert, Sanitary Sewer, Water Supply, Storm Water, Solid Waste
Points			
0	0-4 Years	0-6 Years	0-10 Years
1	5-8 Years	7-12 Years	11-20 Years
2	9-12 Years	13-18 Years	21-30 Years
3	13-16 Years	19-24 Years	31-40 Years
4	17-20 Years	25-30 Years	41-50 Years
5	20+ Years	30+ Years	50+ Years

**OTHER**

- Extremely Critical: There is a present health and/or safety threat.
- Critical: The project will provide immediate health and/or safety benefit.
- Major: The project will reduce a probable health and/or safety problem.
- Moderate: The project will delay a health and/or safety problem.
- Minimal: A possible future health and/or safety problem mitigation.
- No Impact: No health and/or safety effect.

*NOTE: Combined projects that can be rated in more than one subset may be rated in the other category at the discretion of the District 5 Executive Committee. In general, the majority of the cost or scope of the project shall determine the category under which the project will be scored.*

(Submittals without supporting documentation will receive 0 Points for this question.)

Extremely Critical \_\_\_\_, Critical \_\_\_\_, Major \_\_\_\_, Moderate \_\_\_\_, Minimal \_\_\_\_, No Impact \_\_\_\_. Explain your answer.

(Additional narrative, charts and/or pictures should be attached to questionnaire)

4. Identify the amount of local funds that will be used on the project as a percentage of the total project cost. **ORC Reference 164.06(B)(6); ORC 164.06(B)(7); ORC 164.06(B)(3); ORC 164.14(E)(4)**

A.) Amount of Local Funds = \$ 155,000  
B.) Total Project Cost = \$ 310,000

RATIO OF LOCAL FUNDS DIVIDED BY TOTAL PROJECT COSTS (A ÷ B) = 50 %

Note: Local funds should be considered funds derived from the applicant budget or loans funds to be paid back through local budget, assessments, rates or tax revenues collected by the applicant.

5. Identify the amount of other funding sources to be used on the project, excluding SCIP or LTIP Funds, as a percentage of the total project cost. **ORC Reference(s): 164.06(B)(7); 164.14(E)(4)**

Grants \_\_\_\_% Gifts \_\_\_\_%, Contributions \_\_\_\_%

Other \_\_\_\_% (explain) \_\_\_\_\_, Total \_\_\_\_%

Note: Grant funds and other revenues not contributed or collected through taxes by the applicant should be considered other funds. The Scope of Work for each Funding Source must be the same.

6. Total Amount of SCIP and Loan Funding Requested- An Applicant can request a grant per the categories below for points as indicated on the Priority Rating Sheet. If the Applicant is including a loan request equal to, but not exceeding 50% of the OPWC funding amounts listed below, there will be no point penalty. If loan funds requested are more than 50%, points as listed in the Priority Rating Sheet will apply. **ORC Reference(s): 164.14(E)(10); 164.06(B)(5)**

Minimal: 81-100 or a General Appraisal rating of more than 7.

No Impact: Bridge on a new roadway.

### **WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANTS**

Extremely Critical: Improvements required by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the form of a consent decree, finding and orders or court order, and Health Department Construction Ban.

Critical: Improvements required by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the form of NPDES permit requirements or Notice of Violations.

Major: Operational Improvements to Existing Plants. Replace deficient appurtenances. Update existing processes due to EPA recommendations.

Moderate: Increase capacity to meet current needs or update processes to improve effluent quality.

Minimal: New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

### **WATER TREATMENT PLANT**

Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.

Critical: Improvements to meet Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Safe Drinking Water Regulations and/or Notice of Violations.

Major: Operational Improvements to Existing Plants. Replace deficient appurtenances. Update existing processes due to EPA recommendations.

Moderate: Increase capacity to meet current needs or update processes to improve water quality.

Minimal: New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

### **COMBINED SEWER SEPARATIONS** (May be construction of either new storm or sanitary sewer as long as the result is two separate sewer systems.)

Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order. Health Department Construction Ban.

Critical: Separate, due to chronic backup or flooding in basements.

Major: Separate, due to documented water quality impairment, or due to EPA recommendations.

Moderate: Separate, due to specific development proposal within or upstream of the combined system area.

Minimal: Separate, to conform to current design standards.

No Impact: No positive health effect.

## **STORM SEWERS**

- Extremely Critical: Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.
- Critical: Chronic flooding (structure damage) or improvements required by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the form of NPDES permit requirements or Notice of Violations.
- Major: Inadequate capacity (land damage).
- Moderate: Inadequate capacity with no associated damage.
- Minimal: New/Expansion to meet current needs.
- No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or project needs.

## **CULVERTS**

- Extremely Critical: Structurally deficient or functionally obsolete. Deterioration has already caused a critical safety hazard to the public.
- Critical: Inadequate capacity with land damage and the existing or high probability of property damage.
- Major: Inadequate capacity (land damage).
- Moderate: Inadequate capacity with no associated damage.
- Minimal: New/Expansion to meet current needs.
- No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

## **SANITARY SEWERS**

- Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order. Health Department Construction Ban.
- Critical: Replace, due to chronic pipe failure, chronic backup or flooding in basements, sewer system overflows, and/or improvements required by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the form of NPDES permit requirements or Notice of Violations.
- Major: Replace, due to inadequate capacity or infiltration, or due to EPA recommendations.
- Moderate: Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs or to reduce inflow and infiltration.
- Minimal: New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.
- No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

## **SANITARY LIFT STATIONS AND FORCE MAINS**

- Extremely Critical: Structurally deficient. Deterioration has already caused a safety/health hazard to

the public, or; EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.

- Critical: Inadequate capacity with actual or a high probability of property damage; or improvements required by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the form of NPDES permit requirements.
- Major: EPA recommendations, or; reduces a probable health and/or safety problem.
- Moderate: Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs.
- Minimal: New/Expansion to meet a specific development proposal.
- No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

### **WATER PUMP STATIONS**

- Extremely Critical: Structurally deficient. Deterioration has already caused a safety hazard to the public, or, EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.
- Critical: Inadequate capacity with the inability to maintain pressure required for fire flows.
- Major: Replace due to inadequate capacity or EPA recommendations.
- Moderate: Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs.
- Minimal: New/Expansion to meet a specific development proposal.
- No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

### **WATER LINES/WATER TOWERS**

- Extremely Critical: Replace to solve low potable water pressure or excessive incidents of main breaks in project area.
- Critical: Replacement/Rehabilitation due to structural deficiency such as excessive corrosion and/or safety upgrades, etc.
- Major: Replace undersized water mains as part of an overall upgrade process. Replace water meters that have exceeded their useful life.
- Moderate: Increase capacity to meet current needs. Spot repairs/recoating to restore moderate corrosion of water components.
- Minimal: New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.
- No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

- \_\_\_\_\_ \$500,001 or More
- \_\_\_\_\_ \$400,001-\$500,000
- \_\_\_\_\_ \$325,001-\$400,000
- \_\_\_\_\_ \$275,001-\$325,000
- \_\_\_\_\_ \$175,001-\$275,000
- X \_\_\_\_\_ \$175,000 or Less

There are times when the District spends all of the grant money and has loan money remaining. When this happens, the district makes a loan offer in the amount of the requested grant to the communities that were not funded. The offers are made in the order of scoring. We need to know if you are not successful in obtaining grant dollars for your project if you would be interested in loan money:

YES X NO \_\_\_\_\_

(This will only be considered if you are not funded with grant money and there is remaining loan money.) **Please note: if you answer “no” you will not be contacted, only if you answer “yes” will an offer be made in the event that there is loan money remaining.**

7. If the proposed project is funded, will its completion directly result in the creation of permanent full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs (FTE jobs shall be defined as 36 hours/week) ? Yes \_\_\_ No X. If yes, how many jobs within eighteen months? \_\_\_ Will the completed project retain jobs that would otherwise be permanently lost? Yes \_\_\_ No \_\_\_. If yes, how many jobs \_\_\_\_\_ **will be created/retrained** within 18 months **following the completion of the improvements?**

**ORC Reference(s): 164.14(E)(3);164.14(E)(10)**

(Supporting documentation in the form of letter from affected industrial or commercial enterprises that specify full time equivalent jobs that will be retained or created directly by the installation or improvement of Public infrastructure. Additional items such as; 1) newspaper articles or other media news accounts, 2) public meeting minutes, and/or 3) a letter from the County Economic Development Director or State of Ohio Economic Development Professional that alludes to the requirement for the infrastructure improvement to support the business. Submittals without supporting documentation will receive 0 points for this question.)

8. What is the total number of existing users that will directly benefit from the proposed project if completed? 84 (Use households served, traffic counts, etc. and explain the basis by which you arrived at your number.) **ORC Reference 164.14(E)(7); 164.06(B)(10)**

9. Economic Distress Criteria **ORC Reference 164.06(B)(8)**

What is the Local Median Household Income as a percentage of the District Median Household Income? 75.03 %. Please utilize the Economic Distress Scoring Criteria based on ACS 2013-2017 Data provided in Exhibit A.

3. Health and Safety Rating: **ORC Reference(s):164.06(B)(4),164.14(E)(1); 164.14(E)(10)**

If the proposed project is not approved what category would best represent the impact on the general health and/or public safety?

**ROADS**

- Extremely Critical:** Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction (4R) of a Major Access Road.\*
- Critical:** Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation (3R) of a Major Access Road.\*
- Major:** Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction (4R) of a Minor Access Road.\*
- Moderate:** Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation (3R) of a Minor Access Road.\*
- Minimal:** Preventative Maintenance of a Major Access Road.
- No Impact:** Preventative Maintenance of a Minor Access Road.

**Projects that have a variety of work will be scored in the LOWEST category of work contained in the Construction Estimate.**

***Road/Street Classifications:***

*Major Access Road:* Roads or streets that have a dual function of providing access to adjacent properties and providing through or connecting service between other roads.

*Minor Access Road:* Roads or streets that primarily provide access to adjacent properties without through continuity, such as cul-de-sacs or loop roads or streets.

*Preventative Maintenance:* Non Structural Pavement work such as chip sealing, cape sealing, micro-surfacing, crack sealing, etc.

**\*(3R) Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation - Improvements to existing roadways, which have as their main purpose, the restoration of the physical features (pavement, curb, guardrail, etc.) without altering the original design elements. (Surface and Intermediate layer Mill and Fills, overlays with less than or equal to 3.5” of additional pavement, etc....)**

**\*(4R) Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction - Much like 3R, except that 4R allows for the complete reconstruction of the roadway and alteration of certain design elements (i.e., lane widths, shoulder width, SSD, overlays with greater than 3.5” of additional pavement. etc.).**

**BRIDGES SUFFICIENCY RATING**

- Extremely Critical:** 0-25, or a General Appraisal rating of 3 or less.
- Critical:** 27-50, or a General Appraisal rating of 4.
- Major:** 51-65 or a General Appraisal rating of 5 or 6.
- Moderate:** 66-80 or a General Appraisal rating of 7.

10. Readiness to Proceed Criteria **ORC Reference 164.06(B)(9); ORC 164.14(E)(5)**

Please categorize the status of planning and design elements for the project.

- Plans have not begun yet (0 Points)
- Preliminary Engineering Complete (1 Point)
- Final Design Complete (2 Points)

11. Base Score Total for Questions 1-10= \_\_\_\_\_

12. County Subcommittee Priority Points= \_\_\_\_\_

(25-20-15 Points for each of the SCIP and LTIP Project Categories)

---

13. DISCRETIONARY POINTS (BY DISTRICT COMMITTEE ONLY)

13a. A **District Discretionary Point** may be awarded to projects that demonstrate significant Area-wide, County, or Community Impact. (Include documentation to support the claim of significance) (Maximum of 1 Point at the discretion of the District Executive Committee) \_\_\_\_\_

**ORC Reference 164.14(E)(7)**

13b. A **District Discretionary Point** may be awarded to projects that demonstrate that the entity has maximized local financial resources including assessments. Provide a Fund Status Report and/or the water and sanitary waste utility rate structures are at least 2.5% of area median household income for combined systems and 1.5% of the area median household income for water and sanitary only systems. Please provide rate ordinances for water and sanitary sewer to be considered for discretionary points. (Maximum of 1 Point at the discretion of the District 5 Executive Committee) \_\_\_\_\_ **ORC Reference 164.06(B)(3)**

14. **Grand Total of Points** \_\_\_\_\_

15. Is subdivision's population less than 5,000 Yes No \_\_\_\_ If yes, continue. You may want to design your project per Small Government Project Evaluation Criteria, released for the current OPWC Round to assist in evaluating your project for potential Small Government Funding. The Small Government Criteria is available on the OPWC website at [Ohio Public Works Commission](#)

[> Programs > Infrastructure Programs > Small Government](#)

---

16. **OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION SMALL GOVERNMENT PROGRAM GUIDELINES**

All projects that are sponsored by a subdivision with a population of 5,000 or less, and not earning enough points for District Funding from SCIP or LTIP Funds, are then rated using the Small Government Program Rating Criteria for the corresponding funding round. In order to be rated the entity must submit the Small Government Supplement and their required budgets with their application.

**Only infrastructure that is village- or township- owned is eligible for assistance.** The following policies have been adopted by the Small Government Commission:

- District Integrating Committees may submit up to seven (7) applications for consideration by the Commission. All 7 must be ranked, however, only the top five (5) will be scored. The remaining two (2) will be held as contingency projects should an application be withdrawn.

- Grants are limited to \$500,000. Any assistance above that amount must be in the form of a loan.

- Grants for new or expanded infrastructure cannot exceed 50% of the project estimate.

- The Commission may deny funding for water and sewer systems that are deemed to be more cost-effective if regionalized.

- If a water or sewer project is determined to be affordable, the project will be offered a loan rather than a grant. Pay special attention to the **Water & Wastewater Affordability Supplemental and the Small Government Water & Wastewater Affordability Calculation Worksheet. Both are available on the Small Government Program Tab at <https://www.pwc.ohio.gov/Programs/Infrastructure-Programs/Small-Government>**

- Should there be more projects that meet the “annual score” than there is funding, the tie breaker is those projects which scored highest under Health & Safety, with the second tie breaker being Condition. If multiple projects have equivalent Health & Safety and Condition scores they are arranged according to the amount of assistance from low to high. Once the funded projects are announced, “contingency projects” may be funded from project under-runs by continuing down the approved project list.

- Supplemental assistance is not provided to projects previously funded by the Commission.

- Applicants have 30 days from receipt of application by OPWC without exception to provide additional documentation to make the application more competitive under the Small Government criteria. Applications will be scored after the 30-day period has expired. The applicants for each District's two (2) contingency projects will have the same 30-day period to submit supplemental information but these applications will not be scored unless necessary to do so. **It is each applicant's responsibility for determining the need for supplemental material. The applicant will not be asked for or notified of missing information unless the Commission has changed the project type and it affects the documentation required. Important information may include, but is not limited to: age of infrastructure, traffic counts or utility users, median income information, user rates ordinances, and the Auditor's Certificate of Estimated Revenues or documentation from the Auditor of State that subdivision is in a state of fiscal emergency.**

If you desire to have your FY26/PY39 project considered for Small Government Funding please download the Small Government Evaluation Criteria applicable to FY26/PY39 by accessing the OPWC Website at [Ohio Public Works Commission > Programs > Infrastructure Programs > Small Government](#). Please follow the Small Government Evaluation Criteria and include supporting documentation to receive points. Specifically, include the Auditor's Certification of funds for your entity and documentation supporting the age of the infrastructure.

---

Please complete the Small Government Evaluation Criteria and attach all required supporting documentation and attach it to the District 5 Questionnaire for FY26/PY39.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

9-12-24

Signature: *J L*  
 Title: Chief Deputy Engineer  
 Address: One Courthouse Square Bowling Green Ohio 43402  
 Phone: 419-354-9070  
 FAX: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Email: jsisco@woodcountyohio.gov

**Exhibit A- Economic Distress Factor**

District 5 will use ACS 2015-2019 data below to score criteria #7 of the Scoring Methodology. Information is listed for each county, municipality and township. The Median Household Income (MHI) for each jurisdiction was divided by the District 5 MHI to produce an Economic Distress Factor. District 5 then assigned points as follows: for each jurisdiction having an Economic Distress of 80% or less a score of 2 was awarded; for jurisdictions with an Economic Distress Factor of 80.1% to 100.0% 1 point was awarded; and for jurisdictions in excess of 100.1% a score of 0 was awarded.

<b>District 5</b>		<b>\$69,538</b>		
	<b>Jurisdiction</b>	<b>MH Income</b>	<b>Population</b>	
Williams	Madison township (Remainder of)	\$39,000	889	0.5608
Williams	Edgerton village	\$39,563	1,881	0.5689
Fulton	Fayette village	\$41,016	1,305	0.5898
Wood	Bowling Green city	\$41,346	30,808	0.5946
Paulding	Broughton village	\$43,958	116	0.6321
Paulding	Paulding township (Remainder of)	\$44,106	981	0.6343
Ottawa	Erie township	\$46,154	1,147	0.6637
Williams	Pioneer village	\$46,528	1,429	0.6691
Paulding	Oakwood village	\$46,667	546	0.6711
Williams	Montpelier village	\$46,868	3,942	0.6740
Erie	Sandusky city	\$47,375	25,095	0.6813
Wood	Perry township (Remainder of)	\$47,845	1,437	0.6880
Henry	Holgate village	\$48,125	1,061	0.6921
Sandusky	Fremont city	\$48,252	15,930	0.6939
Erie	Castalia village	\$48,516	774	0.6977
Wood	Jerry City village	\$48,676	454	0.7000
Paulding	Scott village	\$50,000	242	0.7190
Paulding	Antwerp village	\$50,313	1,676	0.7235
Ottawa	Oak Harbor village	\$50,362	2,821	0.7242
Wood	Weston village	\$51,033	1,455	0.7339
Williams	Bryan city	\$51,415	8,729	0.7394
Wood	Walbridge village	\$51,563	3,011	0.7415
Wood	Jackson township (Remainder of)	\$52,174	482	0.7503
Wood	Portage village	\$52,389	398	0.7534
Wood	Custar village	\$52,500	178	0.7550
Paulding	Paulding village	\$52,750	3,555	0.7586
Henry	Malinta village	\$52,813	236	0.7595
Wood	Fostoria city	\$53,145	13,046	0.7643
Sandusky	Townsend township	\$53,519	1,523	0.7696
Paulding	Emerald township (Remainder of)	\$53,750	765	0.7730
Wood	Risingsun village	\$54,167	541	0.7790

2Pts.

DISTRICT 5 Capital Improvement Project Priority Rating Sheet, PY39/FY26										Revised 04/9/2024							
COUNTY:										PROJECT NUMBER:							
EST. COST:																	
No	A* WEIGHT FACTOR	CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED	B* PRIORITY FACTORS						A* x B*	PRIORITY FACTORS						No.	
			0	2	4	6	8	10		0	2	4	6	8	10		
1	1	(REPAIR OR REPLACE) vs. (NEW OR EXPANSION)							10	0%+	20%+	40%+	60%+	80%+	100%+	1	
										Repair or Replacement	Repair or Replacement	Repair or Replacement	Repair or Replacement	Repair or Replacement	Repair or Replacement		
2A	1	EXISTING PHYSICAL CONDITION Please refer to Criteria #2 of the Round 36 Scoring Methodology. Most current substantiating documentation, (100% New or Expansion = 0 Points)							10	Excellent	Good	Fair	Fading	Poor	Fading	2A	
2B	1	AGE							5	Type	0	1	2	3	4	5	2B
										Road	0-4 Yrs	5-8 Yrs	9-12 Yrs	13-16 Yrs	17-20 Yrs	20+ Yrs	
										Wastewater	0-6 Yrs	7-12 Yrs	13-18 Yrs	19-24 Yrs	25-30 Yrs	30+ Yrs	
										Bridge/Culvert							
										Sanitary Sewer, Water Supply, Storm Water, Solid Waste	0-10 Yrs	11-20 Yrs	21-30 Yrs	31-40 Yrs	41-50 Yrs	50+ Yrs	
3	2	PUBLIC HEALTH AND/OR SAFETY CONCERNS Submittals without supporting documentation will receive 0 points for this question.							20	No Impact	Minimal	Moderate	Major	Critical	Extremely Critical	3	
4	2	LOCAL MATCHING FUNDS Percentage of Local Share (Local funds are funds derived from the applicant budget or a loan to be paid back through the applicant budget, assessments, rates or tax revenues) *							20	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	4	
5	1	OTHER FUNDING (Excluding Issue II Funds) (Grants and other revenues not contributed or collected through taxes by the applicant, including Gifts, Contributions, etc. - must submit copy of award or status letter.)	X						0	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	5	
6		GRANT AND LOAN FUNDS REQUESTED Please refer to Criteria #6 of the Round 36 Methodology for clarification.							20							6	
	2	Grant or Loan Only	-9	-8	0	8	9	10								6	
	2	Grant/Loan Combination	-9	-8	0	8	9	10								6	
When scoring a project that is only grant or only loan, please use the chart labeled "Grant or Loan Only". When scoring a grant/loan combination, score the project for the grant in the first chart, then use the second chart labeled "Grant/Loan Combination" to score the total (grant and loan combined). Use the lower of the two as the score.																	
7	1	JOB CREATION/RETENTION Indicate full time equivalent jobs, include supporting documentation in the form of a commitment letter from business or third party entity.	X						0	0-3 Jobs	7-14 Jobs	15-24 Jobs	25+ Jobs			7	
8	1	BENEFIT TO EXISTING USERS (households or traffic counts) revisions existing unit direct connections. Traffic Counts within three years with certified documentation, etc.	X						0	0-99 Users	100 - 349 Users	350 - 499 Users	500 - 749 Users	750 - 1000 Users	1000+ Users	8	
9	1	ECONOMIC DISTRESS Local Mill is a percentage of the District Median MHI		X					2	100%+	80%-100%	Less Than 80%				9	
10	1	READINESS TO PROCEED	X						1	Plans Not Begun Yet	Engineering Complete	Final Design Complete				10	
11		SUBTOTAL RANKING POINTS (MAX. = 115)							88	Other Info: Does this project have a significant impact on productive farmland? YES NO Attach impact statement if yes. Is the Applicant ready to proceed to bids after State Approval within 6 months? YES NO							
12		COUNTY SUBCOMMITTEE PRIORITY POINTS (25-20-15)															
13A		DISCRETIONARY POINTS (BY DISTRICT ONLY) (MAX.=1)								District Discretionary Point may be awarded to projects that demonstrate significant Area-wide, County, or Community Impact. Include documentation to support the claim of significance.							
13B		DISCRETIONARY POINTS (BY DISTRICT ONLY) (MAX.=1)								District Discretionary Point may be awarded to projects that demonstrate that the entity has maximized financial resources including assessments and utility rate structure.							
14		GRAND TOTAL RANKING POINTS															

\* Applicants must certify local and other share contributions. Specify, all funding sources to be utilized as local share at the time of application submittal.

# JACKSON TOWNSHIP - 2020 Pavement Condition Ratings


Wood County, Ohio



19981 Railroad Street

Hoytville, OH 43529

419-278-2420



## LEGEND

- 90 - 100: VERY GOOD
- 75 - 90: GOOD
- 65 - 75: FAIR
- 55 - 65: FAIR TO POOR
- 40 - 55: POOR
- 0 - 40: VERY POOR
- GRAVEL/DIRT TOWNSHIP ROAD
- NON TOWNSHIP ROAD
- RAILROAD TRACKS



0 2,500 5,000 Feet

Created: 8/26/2021 11:51:35 AM

Created by:



# Jackson Township Trustees

19981 Railroad Street, Hoytville, Ohio 43529

Greg Panning

Matt Sheeks

Adam Ziegler

## TOWNSHIP CERTIFICATION FOR AGE OF INFRASTRUCTURE

Please accept this letter as certification that no improvements have taken place on Hoytville Road from Henry Wood County Line Road to Roundhead Road within the last 20 years.

*Adam Ziegler* 9-10-24

Adam Ziegler, Trustee